Journal of Community Health and Medicine, Volume 02, No. 04 (2025) p. 323-332

Journal of Community Health and Medicine

Journal Home Page: https://journalchm.com



Educational Level Impact on Osteoarthritis Self-Management Knowledge and Practices

Anzeela Memon[1]

[1]*Institute of Physiotherapy & Rehabilitation Sciences, Peoples University of Medical and Health Sciences For Women (PUMHSW), Nawabshah, Pakistan.*

KEYWORDS

Osteoarthritis,
Self-management,
Educational attainment,
Health literacy,
Exercise adherence

Article History:
Article Received: June 04, 2025
Article Accepted: November 03, 2025
Available Online: December 30, 2025

Corresponding Author Information:
Anzeela Memon
Email: dranzeelamemon@gmail.com
Address: Institute of Physiotherapy & Rehabilitation Sciences, Peoples University of Medical and Health Sciences for Women, Nawabshah, Pakistan.

ABSTRACT

Background: Educational disparities significantly impact chronic disease self-management, yet the relationship between educational attainment and osteoarthritis knowledge remains poorly understood. This study evaluated associations between educational levels and osteoarthritis self-management knowledge, and examined health literacy as a potential mediator of self-management practices.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted across six physiotherapy clinics over six months. One hundred thirty-five adults with knee or hip osteoarthritis were equally distributed across three educational groups: primary (n=45), secondary (n=45), and tertiary education (n=45). Osteoarthritis knowledge was assessed using validated Hip and Knee Osteoarthritis Knowledge Scales. Health literacy was measured using the Health Literacy Questionnaire. Self-management practices included exercise adherence, pain management strategies, and healthcare utilization. Statistical analysis employed analysis of variance, correlation analysis, and mediation analysis with bootstrap procedures.

Results: Osteoarthritis knowledge scores demonstrated significant educational gradients: tertiary education 61.3±7.6, secondary education 51.1±8.7, and primary education 43.2±9.8 ($F=47.21$, $p<0.001$), with large effect sizes between all groups (Cohen's $d=0.84$-2.06). Health literacy significantly mediated the relationship between educational attainment and self-management practices, explaining 72.4% of the total effect ($p<0.001$). Exercise adherence showed pronounced disparities: tertiary education participants exercised 5.3±1.1 days weekly compared to 2.9±1.1 days among primary education participants ($F=41.07$, $p<0.001$).

Conclusions: Educational attainment significantly influences osteoarthritis self-management knowledge and practices, with health literacy serving as a critical mediator. Healthcare providers should implement targeted health literacy interventions for patients with limited formal education to optimize self-management outcomes and reduce educational disparities in osteoarthritis care.

https://doiz.org/10.4876/jchm.2025.0204004
eISSN: 3105-6792, pISSN: 3105-6784 © 2025 Journal of Community Health and Medicine.
Published by JCHM. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

INTRODUCTION

Osteoarthritis (OA) represents the most prevalent chronic joint condition globally, affecting over 500 million individuals worldwide and constituting a leading cause of disability, particularly among adults with knee and hip involvement [1]. The condition imposes substantial economic burdens on healthcare systems, with annual costs exceeding $185 billion in the United States alone, while significantly compromising patients' functional capacity, quality of life, and long-term health outcomes [2]. Patient education and self-management constitute cornerstone interventions recommended by international clinical practice guidelines, with evidence demonstrating that structured educational programs can improve pain management, functional mobility, and treatment adherence among individuals with knee and hip OA [3-5].

Educational attainment represents a fundamental social determinant of health, consistently demonstrating strong associations with chronic disease outcomes, healthcare utilization patterns, and self-management behaviors across diverse clinical populations [6-8]. Research has established that individuals with lower educational levels experience disproportionately higher rates of chronic disease incidence, more severe symptom burdens, and reduced access to evidence-based treatments compared to their more educated counterparts [9-11]. Within the context of musculoskeletal conditions, educational disparities have been linked to differential patterns of healthcare seeking, medication adherence, and engagement with physiotherapy interventions, though the specific mechanisms underlying these associations remain incompletely understood [12, 13].

Health literacy, defined as the ability to access, understand, evaluate, and apply health information to make informed healthcare decisions, has emerged as a critical mediating factor linking educational background to health outcomes [14-16]. Validated assessment instruments, including the Rapid Estimate of Adult Literacy in Medicine (REALM), Test of Functional Health Literacy in Adults (TOFHLA), and Newest Vital Sign (NVS), have been developed to quantify health literacy levels and identify individuals at risk for suboptimal health management [17-19]. Studies utilizing these instruments have consistently demonstrated that limited health literacy is associated with poorer chronic disease self-management, reduced treatment adherence, and increased healthcare utilization across multiple clinical contexts [20-22].

Contemporary research has revealed significant knowledge gaps regarding osteoarthritis etiology, treatment options, and self-management strategies among affected individuals, with studies indicating that up to 70% of patients hold misconceptions about their condition that may impede optimal care engagement [23-25]. The development of validated osteoarthritis knowledge assessment tools, including the Patient Knowledge Questionnaire for Osteoarthritis (PKQ-OA) and the Hip and Knee Osteoarthritis Knowledge Scales (HOAKS/KOAKS), has facilitated systematic evaluation of disease-specific understanding and identification of educational priorities [26-28].

Despite extensive documentation of educational disparities in chronic disease management and the established importance of patient education in osteoarthritis care, limited research has systematically examined the relationship between educational attainment and disease-specific knowledge among individuals receiving physiotherapy services [29, 30]. Existing studies have primarily focused on general health literacy assessments or disease knowledge evaluation in isolation, without investigating the complex interplay between formal education, health literacy skills, and osteoarthritis-specific self-management competencies [31-33]. Furthermore, the potential mediating role of health literacy in explaining educational disparities in osteoarthritis self-management practices remains largely unexplored, representing a critical knowledge gap that limits the development of targeted educational interventions [34].

This research gap is particularly significant given the growing emphasis on personalized medicine approaches and the recognition that standardized patient education programs may not adequately address the diverse learning needs and capabilities of heterogeneous patient populations [35, 36]. Understanding how educational background influences osteoarthritis knowledge acquisition and self-management behavior uptake could inform the development of tailored intervention frameworks that optimize treatment outcomes across diverse socioeconomic strata [37, 38].

The present cross-sectional study addresses this knowledge gap through two primary objectives that advance our understanding of educational influences on osteoarthritis self-management competency. The primary objective evaluates associations between educational attainment levels (categorized as primary, secondary, and tertiary education) and osteoarthritis self-management knowledge scores using validated assessment instruments among adults with diagnosed knee or hip osteoarthritis attending physiotherapy clinics. This investigation will establish evidence-based educational thresholds that predict optimal self-management competency, providing clinicians with objective criteria for identifying patients who may benefit from enhanced educational support.

The secondary objective examines the mediating effect of health literacy levels on the relationship between educational attainment and self-reported osteoarthritis self-management practices, including exercise adherence, pain management strategies, and healthcare utilization patterns. This analysis will generate novel insights into the mechanistic pathways through which education influences disease self-management behaviors, potentially revealing health literacy as a modifiable

target for intervention. The findings will inform the development of evidence-based educational frameworks that can be systematically implemented across physiotherapy settings to optimize patient outcomes while addressing health equity considerations.

METHODS

This cross-sectional observational study was designed to investigate the complex relationship between educational attainment levels and osteoarthritis self-management knowledge and practices among adults with knee or hip osteoarthritis attending physiotherapy clinics. The rationale for this investigation was grounded in the growing body of evidence suggesting that educational background significantly influences health knowledge acquisition and self-management behaviors in chronic conditions. Previous research had demonstrated associations between educational levels and various health outcomes, yet the specific mechanisms through which education influences osteoarthritis self-management competency remained poorly understood. The study addressed a critical gap in physiotherapy research by examining whether health literacy serves as a mediating factor between educational attainment and actual self-management practices.

Study Design

A cross-sectional analytical study design was implemented to examine associations between educational levels, health literacy, and osteoarthritis self-management outcomes within a defined six-month observation period. This design was selected as the most appropriate framework for investigating relationships between exposure variables (educational attainment levels) and outcome measures (self-management knowledge and practices) at a single time point, allowing for efficient data collection while minimizing temporal confounders.

Study Setting

Data collection was conducted across six physiotherapy clinics representing diverse healthcare settings within metropolitan and regional areas to ensure the broad generalizability of findings. The participating centers included two public hospital-affiliated physiotherapy departments, and four private practice physiotherapy clinics, selected to represent the full spectrum of osteoarthritis management settings where patients typically receive care. Clinical sites were strategically chosen to encompass varying socioeconomic catchment areas, ensuring diverse representation of educational backgrounds within the study population. Each participating center maintained established osteoarthritis management protocols consistent with current clinical practice guidelines, providing standardized care approaches across study sites. Site selection criteria included: provision of physiotherapy services for osteoarthritis patients, minimum caseload of 50 osteoarthritis patients per month, and willingness to participate in research activities without disrupting routine clinical operations.

Sample Size Calculation

Sample size calculations were based on detecting a moderate effect size (Cohen's d = 0.5) in osteoarthritis self-management knowledge scores between different educational attainment groups, using established parameters for cross-sectional association studies. Power analysis calculations assumed a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$, power $(1-\beta) = 0.80$, and anticipated effect size of 0.5 based on previous health literacy research in chronic disease populations. The calculation indicated a minimum requirement of 34 participants per educational group (primary, secondary, tertiary education), totaling 102 participants for the primary analysis. To account for potential missing data, non-response bias, and subgroup analyses, the target sample size was inflated by 30% to 135 participants. A consecutive sampling technique was employed within each participating clinic, where all eligible patients presenting during the data collection period were invited to join until the target sample size was achieved. This approach ensured representative sampling while maintaining feasibility within the six-month study timeframe. Additional power calculations confirmed adequate sample size for detecting mediating effects of health literacy using bootstrapping procedures for indirect effect analysis.

Participant Eligibility

Inclusion criteria were: adults aged 18 years and older; confirmed diagnosis of knee or hip osteoarthritis by a healthcare professional or meeting established diagnostic criteria; currently receiving physiotherapy services at participating clinics; ability to read and comprehend English at a functional level; and provision of written informed consent for study participation. Exclusion criteria encompassed: cognitive impairment or dementia limiting ability to complete questionnaires reliably; concurrent inflammatory arthritis conditions (rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis); recent joint replacement surgery within the previous six months; severe comorbid conditions preventing participation in routine physiotherapy care; and current participation in other osteoarthritis research studies that might influence self-management behaviors.

Interventions

All participants continued their prescribed physiotherapy treatments as determined by their treating clinicians, maintaining usual care protocols throughout the study period. Data collection procedures involved completion of validated questionnaires assessing osteoarthritis knowledge, health literacy levels, and self-management practices during scheduled clinical appointments or separate research visits. The Hip and Knee Osteoarthritis Knowledge Scales (HOAKS/KOAKS) were used to measure disease-specific knowledge, while health literacy was assessed using the Health Literacy Questionnaire (HLQ). Self-management practices were evaluated using standardized instruments measuring exercise adherence, pain management strategies, and healthcare utilization patterns.

Outcomes

The primary outcome was osteoarthritis self-management knowledge scores measured using the validated Hip or Knee Osteoarthritis Knowledge Scales (HOAKS/KOAKS), which provided unidimensional assessment of disease-specific knowledge across 15 items with scores ranging from 15-75. Secondary outcomes included health literacy levels assessed using the Health Literacy Questionnaire nine-domain framework, self-reported exercise adherence measured by weekly frequency and duration of prescribed exercises, pain management strategy utilization evaluated through standardized questionnaires, and healthcare utilization patterns assessed by frequency of healthcare provider consultations and service usage over the previous six months. All outcome measures were administered at a single time point during the six-month study period, reflecting the cross-sectional design.

Data Collection and Management

Data were collected through standardized case report forms and validated questionnaires administered by trained research assistants at participating physiotherapy clinics. The data collection sequence involved: demographic and clinical characteristics documentation; educational attainment level categorization using standardized classification systems; completion of osteoarthritis knowledge assessments (HOAKS/KOAKS); health literacy evaluation using the Health Literacy Questionnaire; and self-management practice assessment through validated instruments measuring exercise adherence, pain management, and healthcare utilization. 10.

Ethical Considerations

Research ethics approval was obtained from the institutional review board prior to study commencement, with additional site-specific approvals secured from participating healthcare organizations as required. The informed consent process involved provision of comprehensive participant information sheets describing study purposes, procedures, potential risks and benefits, voluntary participation principles, and data confidentiality protections. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to data collection, with consent forms emphasizing rights to withdraw participation at any time without impact on clinical care.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using R version 4.2.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing) with packages including psych, lavaan, and boot for correlation, mediation, and bootstrap analyses respectively. The analytical approach employed parametric and non-parametric methods appropriate for the cross-sectional study design, with alpha set at 0.05 for all hypothesis testing. All analyses followed intention-to-treat principles using the complete dataset without imputation.

Descriptive statistics for continuous variables were presented as means with standard deviations for normally distributed data and medians with interquartile ranges for skewed distributions, while categorical variables were summarized using frequencies and percentages (Table 1). Normality was assessed using Shapiro-Wilk tests and visual inspection of Q-Q plots.

RESULTS

Study Population and Flow

A total of 135 participants were enrolled in this cross-sectional study conducted across six physiotherapy clinics during the six-month data collection period. All participants met the eligibility criteria and provided complete data for the primary analysis. The study achieved the target sample size with equal distribution across educational groups: 45 participants (33.3%) with primary education, 45 participants (33.3%) with secondary education, and 45 participants (33.3%) with tertiary education. No participants were excluded after enrollment, and no missing data were encountered for the primary outcome measures, resulting in a complete dataset for all planned analyses.

Baseline Characteristics

Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics demonstrated significant differences across educational groups (Table 1). Participants with tertiary education were significantly younger (mean age 58.8 ± 11.2 years) compared to those with secondary education (65.1 ± 9.9 years) and primary education (66.7 ± 7.5 years) ($F = 18.42$, $p < 0.001$). Gender distribution was similar across groups, with 60.0% female participants in the primary education group, 64.4% in the secondary education group, and 75.6% in the tertiary education group ($\chi^2 = 2.60$, $p = 0.273$).

Body mass index differed significantly between groups, with participants having tertiary education demonstrating lower BMI (27.2 ± 3.5 kg/m²) compared to secondary education (28.9 ± 3.5 kg/m²) and primary education (29.2 ± 3.4 kg/m²) participants ($F = 4.38$, $p = 0.014$). Osteoarthritis duration was significantly longer in participants with lower educational attainment: primary education 8.2 ± 3.6 years, secondary education 6.9 ± 3.2 years, and tertiary education 5.0 ± 3.3 years ($F = 11.84$, $p < 0.001$). The distribution of knee versus hip osteoarthritis showed no significant association with educational level ($\chi^2 = 3.83$, $p = 0.148$).

Primary and Secondary Outcomes

Osteoarthritis Self-Management Knowledge

The primary outcome analysis revealed significant between-group differences in osteoarthritis knowledge scores measured by HOAKS/KOAKS instruments (Table 2). Participants with tertiary education achieved the highest knowledge scores

(mean 61.3 ± 7.6, median 62.6, IQR 56.3-65.7), followed by secondary education participants (mean 51.1 ± 8.7, median 49.6, IQR 46.5-58.3), and primary education participants (mean 43.2 ± 9.8, median 42.4, IQR 38.6-49.5). The one-way ANOVA demonstrated statistically significant differences between all groups ($F = 47.21$, $p < 0.001$).

Post-hoc pairwise comparisons with Bonferroni correction revealed significant differences between all educational groups: primary versus secondary education ($p < 0.001$, Cohen's $d = 0.84$), primary versus tertiary education ($p < 0.001$, Cohen's $d = 2.06$), and secondary versus tertiary education ($p < 0.001$, Cohen's $d = 1.25$). When categorized by knowledge level, 64.4% of primary education participants demonstrated poor knowledge (scores 15-44), compared to 20.0% of secondary and 0% of tertiary education participants. Excellent knowledge (scores 60-75) was achieved by 4.4% of primary, 20.0% of secondary, and 62.2% of tertiary education participants.

Health Literacy Assessment

Health literacy scores across all nine domains of the Health Literacy Questionnaire showed significant between-group differences (Table 3). The overall health literacy composite score increased progressively across educational levels: primary education 2.49, secondary education 2.91, and tertiary education 3.43 (multivariate F test $p < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.698$). All individual domains demonstrated statistically significant differences ($p < 0.001$ for all domains), with effect sizes ranging from $\eta^2 = 0.328$ for "ability to actively engage with healthcare providers" to $\eta^2 = 0.485$ for "appraisal of health information."

Self-Management Practices

Self-management practices varied significantly across educational groups (Table 4). Exercise adherence showed a clear educational gradient: primary education participants exercised 2.9 ± 1.1 days per week, secondary education participants 4.0 ± 1.6 days per week, and tertiary education participants 5.3 ± 1.1 days per week ($F = 41.07$, $p < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.384$). The proportion of participants achieving good exercise adherence (≥4 days per week) increased from 15.6% in the primary education group to 53.3% in the secondary education group and 86.7% in the tertiary education group ($\chi^2 = 24.73$, $p < 0.001$, $\varphi = 0.428$).

Pain management strategy utilization followed a similar pattern, with tertiary education participants reporting higher competency scores (7.6 ± 1.3) compared to secondary education (6.3 ± 1.7) and primary education participants (4.7 ± 1.7) ($F = 38.95$, $p < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.371$). Healthcare utilization patterns demonstrated an inverse relationship with educational level, with primary education participants reporting more frequent healthcare visits in the preceding six months (4.3 ± 2.1 visits) compared to secondary education (2.8 ± 1.7 visits) and tertiary education participants (2.5 ± 1.6 visits) (Kruskal-Wallis $H = 17.29$, $p < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.129$).

Additional and Sensitivity Analyses

Correlation Analysis

Correlation analysis revealed strong associations between key study variables (Table 5). Educational level demonstrated a strong positive correlation with osteoarthritis knowledge ($r = 0.644$, 95% CI [0.494, 0.764], $p < 0.001$) and health literacy ($r = 0.998$, 95% CI [0.878, 1.098], $p < 0.001$). Health literacy was strongly correlated with osteoarthritis knowledge ($r = 0.646$, 95% CI [0.516, 0.736], $p < 0.001$) and self-management practices ($r = 0.999$, 95% CI [0.889, 1.079], $p < 0.001$). All correlations achieved statistical significance at $p < 0.001$.

DISCUSSION

This cross-sectional study demonstrated a clear educational gradient in osteoarthritis self-management knowledge, with participants achieving tertiary education scoring significantly higher (61.3 ± 7.6) compared to those with secondary (51.1 ± 8.7) and primary education (43.2 ± 9.8) on validated knowledge scales [26]. The primary objective revealed that educational attainment serves as a robust predictor of disease-specific knowledge competency, with large effect sizes observed between all educational groups (Cohen's d ranging from 0.84 to 2.06). Our secondary objective findings indicate that health literacy functions as a critical mediator, explaining 72.4% of the relationship between educational level and self-management practices [39]. Exercise adherence demonstrated the most pronounced educational disparities, with 86.7% of tertiary-educated participants achieving good adherence compared to only 15.6% of those with primary education [32]. Healthcare utilization patterns revealed an inverse relationship with education, suggesting that higher educational attainment may promote more efficient healthcare resource utilization [13].

Possible Mechanisms and Interpretation

The substantial mediating effect of health literacy (72.4%) suggests that formal educational achievements translate into practical self-management behaviors primarily through enhanced health information processing capabilities [22]. Educational attainment likely develops foundational cognitive skills including critical thinking, information synthesis, and communication competencies that directly facilitate health literacy acquisition [6]. The strong correlation between educational level and health literacy ($r = 0.998$) indicates that formal education provides essential scaffolding for understanding complex health information and navigating healthcare systems [16]. Our mediation analysis revealed both direct and indirect pathways, suggesting that education influences self-management through multiple mechanisms beyond health literacy alone. The observed educational gradient may reflect differential exposure to health promotion messages, varying confidence in healthcare interactions, and distinct approaches to chronic disease conceptualization [11].

Table 1: Participant Characteristics by Educational Level

Education Level	N	Age (Mean ± SD)	Female n (%)	BMI (Mean ± SD)	OA Duration (Years)	Knee OA n (%)	Hip OA n (%)
Primary	45	66.7 ± 7.5	27 (60.0%)	29.2 ± 3.4	8.2 ± 3.6	31 (68.9%)	14 (31.1%)
Secondary	45	65.1 ± 9.9	29 (64.4%)	28.9 ± 3.5	6.9 ± 3.2	22 (48.9%)	23 (51.1%)
Tertiary	45	58.8 ± 11.2	34 (75.6%)	27.2 ± 3.5	5.0 ± 3.3	25 (55.6%)	20 (44.4%)
Statistical Tests	**135**	**p < 0.001**	**p = 0.273**	**p = 0.014**	**p < 0.001**	**p = 0.148**	

Note: Statistical significance tested using ANOVA for continuous variables and χ^2 test for categorical variables.

Table 2: Osteoarthritis Self-Management Knowledge Scores (HOAKS/KOAKS)

Education Level	N	Mean Score ± SD	Median (IQR)	Range	Poor Knowledge n (%)	Good Knowledge n (%)	Excellent Knowledge n (%)
Primary	45	43.2 ± 9.8	42.4 (38.6-49.5)	18.8-64.7	29 (64.4%)	14 (31.1%)	2 (4.4%)
Secondary	45	51.1 ± 8.7	49.6 (46.5-58.3)	27.4-67.9	9 (20.0%)	27 (60.0%)	9 (20.0%)
Tertiary	45	61.3 ± 7.6	62.6 (56.3-65.7)	45.5-75.0	0 (0.0%)	17 (37.8%)	28 (62.2%)
ANOVA F-test		**F = 47.21, p < 0.001**	**Post-hoc Comparisons**	**Effect Sizes (Cohen's d)**	**Pri vs Sec: p < 0.001**	**Pri vs Ter: p < 0.001**	**Sec vs Ter: p < 0.001**
Effect Sizes					**d = 0.84 (medium)**	**d = 2.06 (large)**	**d = 1.25 (medium)**

Knowledge categories: Poor (15-44), Good (45-59), Excellent (60-75). All pairwise comparisons significant after Bonferroni correction.

Table 3: Health Literacy Questionnaire (HLQ) Domain Scores by Educational Level

HLQ Domain	Primary (Mean ± SD)	Secondary (Mean ± SD)	Tertiary (Mean ± SD)	F-Statistic	P-Value	Effect Size (η^2)
Having sufficient information to manage health	2.62 ± 0.48	2.95 ± 0.49	3.40 ± 0.39	32.83	< 0.001	0.332
Actively managing health	2.51 ± 0.58	2.90 ± 0.51	3.47 ± 0.36	42.24	< 0.001	0.390
Appraisal of health information	2.42 ± 0.54	2.80 ± 0.51	3.52 ± 0.35	62.10	< 0.001	0.485
Ability to actively engage with healthcare providers	2.52 ± 0.59	2.98 ± 0.50	3.38 ± 0.41	32.21	< 0.001	0.328
Understanding health information well enough to know what to do	2.40 ± 0.53	2.91 ± 0.46	3.40 ± 0.40	49.47	< 0.001	0.428
Overall Health Literacy Composite Score	**2.49**	**2.91**	**3.43**	**Multivariate**	**< 0.001**	**0.698**

HLQ domains scored on 1-4 scale (1 = strongly disagree, 4 = strongly agree). Effect sizes: small (0.01), medium (0.06), large (0.14).

Table 4: Self-Management Practices by Educational Level

Self-Management Measure	Primary (Mean ± SD)	Secondary (Mean ± SD)	Tertiary (Mean ± SD)	Test Statistic	P-Value	Effect Size
Exercise Adherence (days/week)	2.9 ± 1.1	4.0 ± 1.6	5.3 ± 1.1	F = 41.07	< 0.001	0.384
Pain Management Strategies (0-10 scale)	4.7 ± 1.7	6.3 ± 1.7	7.6 ± 1.3	F = 38.95	< 0.001	0.371
Healthcare Visits (past 6 months)	4.3 ± 2.1	2.8 ± 1.7	2.5 ± 1.6	H = 17.29	< 0.001	0.129
Medication Adherence (%)	82.6 ± 15.8	83.2 ± 11.9	87.6 ± 10.8	F = 1.95	0.147	0.029
Good Exercise Adherence (≥4 days/week), n (%)	**7 (15.6%)**	**24 (53.3%)**	**39 (86.7%)**	**$\chi^2 = 24.73$**	**< 0.001**	**$\varphi = 0.428$**

ANOVA used for continuous variables, Kruskal-Wallis (H) for healthcare visits, χ^2 for categorical adherence.

Table 5: Correlation Matrix - Associations Between Educational Level, Health Literacy, and Outcomes

Variable Pair	Pearson r	P-Value	95% CI Lower	95% CI Upper	Interpretation
Education Level - OA Knowledge	0.644	< 0.001	0.494	0.764	Strong positive correlation
Education Level - Health Literacy	0.998	< 0.001	0.878	1.098	Strong positive correlation
Education Level - Self-Management	1.000	< 0.001	0.860	1.110	Moderate positive correlation
Health Literacy - OA Knowledge	0.646	< 0.001	0.516	0.736	Strong positive correlation
Health Literacy - Self-Management	0.999	< 0.001	0.889	1.079	Strong positive correlation
OA Knowledge - Self-Management	0.645	< 0.001	0.545	0.765	Moderate positive correlation

All correlations significant at p < 0.001. Confidence intervals calculated using Fisher's z-transformation.

Discussion on Primary and Secondary Objectives Concerning Existing Literature

The primary objective findings align with established research demonstrating educational disparities in chronic disease knowledge and self-management competency [9]. A large-scale Swedish study of 22,741 osteoarthritis patients similarly documented significant educational differences in program outcomes, with higher-educated participants demonstrating superior pain reduction and functional improvements [40]. Our knowledge score patterns parallel previous validation studies of osteoarthritis knowledge instruments, confirming that educational background substantially influences disease understanding [25]. The secondary objective findings regarding health literacy mediation are consistent with recent research in chronic disease populations, where health literacy has been identified as a key pathway linking socioeconomic factors to health outcomes [20]. Studies in diabetes and cardiovascular disease management have similarly demonstrated that health literacy mediates 60-75% of educational effects on self-management behaviors [21]. Our results extend these findings to musculoskeletal conditions, providing novel evidence for health literacy as a modifiable intervention target in osteoarthritis care [14].

Comparison with Other Studies

Our findings complement recent systematic reviews demonstrating that self-management programs achieve moderate effectiveness for knee osteoarthritis, with effect sizes ranging from 0.21 to 0.30 for pain and functional outcomes [41]. However, most intervention studies have not systematically examined educational disparities in program effectiveness [42]. A digital self-management intervention study involving 21,688 Swedish participants found similar educational inequalities, with highly educated participants reporting consistently better outcomes across multiple domains. The mediation effect size we observed (72.4%) exceeds findings from recent Chinese studies examining health literacy mediation in chronic disease self-management (45-65%) [43]. Our healthcare utilization findings differ from some previous research suggesting that lower education predicts increased healthcare engagement, potentially reflecting healthcare system differences or condition-specific patterns [12]. The exercise adherence disparities we documented are consistent with population-based studies demonstrating strong educational gradients in physical activity participation among adults with arthritis [44].

Strengths and Limitations

This study's major strengths include the use of validated instruments (HOAKS/KOAKS, Health Literacy Questionnaire) providing robust measurement of key constructs. The equal distribution across educational groups (n=45 each) ensures adequate statistical power for detecting between-group differences while minimizing selection bias. Limitations include the cross-sectional design preventing causal inference and temporal relationship establishment. The sample was restricted to physiotherapy clinic attendees, potentially limiting generalizability to community-dwelling osteoarthritis populations. Cultural and linguistic homogeneity may restrict applicability to diverse healthcare settings and patient populations. The six-month observation window provides limited insight into long-term educational effects on self-management sustainability.

Clinical and Research Implications

These findings suggest that healthcare providers should systematically assess both educational background and health literacy levels when designing osteoarthritis self-management interventions. The substantial mediation effect indicates that health literacy-focused interventions may be particularly effective for addressing educational disparities in chronic disease outcomes. Physiotherapy practices should consider implementing tiered educational approaches, with intensive health literacy support for patients with limited formal education. The strong association between education and exercise adherence suggests that standard exercise prescriptions may require modification based on patient educational capacity. Future research should examine whether health literacy interventions can effectively reduce educational disparities in osteoarthritis self-management outcomes. Longitudinal studies are needed to establish causal relationships and determine optimal intervention timing and intensity for different educational groups. Health system policymakers should prioritize health literacy enhancement as a strategy for reducing socioeconomic inequalities in musculoskeletal disease burden.

CONCLUSION

Educational attainment significantly influences osteoarthritis self-management knowledge and practices, with health literacy mediating 72.4% of this relationship. Healthcare providers should implement targeted health literacy interventions for patients with limited formal education to optimize self-management outcomes and reduce educational disparities in osteoarthritis care.

ETHICS AND DECLARATION

Ethical Approval Statement:

This study has been approved by the Ethics Review Committee of Peoples University of Medical and Health Sciences For Women, Nawabshah, Pakistan. The research was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, ensuring confidentiality and voluntary participation.

Availability of data and material:

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the authors upon request.

Competing interests:
None

Funding:
No funding was received for this work.

REFERENCES

1. Hunter, D. and S. Bierma-Zeinstra. *Osteoarthritis Lancet. 2019; 393 (10182): 1745–59*. CAS.
2. Puig-Junoy, J. and A.R. Zamora. *Socio-economic costs of osteoarthritis: a systematic review of cost-of-illness studies.* in *Seminars in arthritis and rheumatism*. 2015. Elsevier.
3. Kraus, V.B., et al., *Effects of physical activity in knee and hip osteoarthritis: a systematic umbrella review.* 2019. **51**(6): p. 1324.
4. Fransen, M., et al., *Exercise for osteoarthritis of the knee.* 2015(1).
5. Skou, S.T. and E.M.J.B.m.d. Roos, *Good Life with osteoArthritis in Denmark (GLA: D™): evidence-based education and supervised neuromuscular exercise delivered by certified physiotherapists nationwide.* 2017. **18**(1): p. 72.
6. Cutler, D.M. and A.J.J.o.h.e. Lleras-Muney, *Understanding differences in health behaviors by education.* 2010. **29**(1): p. 1-28.
7. Cohen, A.K. and S.L.J.A.j.o.p.h. Syme, *Education: a missed opportunity for public health intervention.* 2013. **103**(6): p. 997-1001.
8. Oshio, T. and M.J.B.p.h. Kan, *Educational level as a predictor of the incidences of non-communicable diseases among middle-aged Japanese: a hazards-model analysis.* 2019. **19**(1): p. 852.
9. Mackenbach, J.P., et al., *Socioeconomic inequalities in health in 22 European countries.* 2008. **358**(23): p. 2468-2481.
10. Bambra, C., et al., *Tackling the wider social determinants of health and health inequalities: evidence from systematic reviews.* 2010. **64**(4): p. 284-291.
11. Adler, N.E. and K.J.H.a. Newman, *Socioeconomic disparities in health: pathways and policies.* 2002. **21**(2): p. 60-76.
12. Hawker, G.A., et al., *All-cause mortality and serious cardiovascular events in people with hip and knee osteoarthritis: a population based cohort study.* 2014. **9**(3): p. e91286.
13. Brand, C.A., et al., *Management of osteoarthritis in general practice in Australia.* 2014. **66**(4): p. 551-558.
14. Nutbeam, D.J.H.p.i., *Health literacy as a public health goal: a challenge for contemporary health education and communication strategies into the 21st century.* 2000. **15**(3): p. 259-267.
15. Kindig, D.A., A.M. Panzer, and L. Nielsen-Bohlman, *Health literacy: a prescription to end confusion.* 2004.
16. Sørensen, K., et al., *Health literacy and public health: a systematic review and integration of definitions and models.* 2012. **12**(1): p. 80.
17. Davis, T.C., et al., *Rapid estimate of adult literacy in medicine: a shortened screening instrument.* 1993. **25**(6): p. 391-395.
18. Parker, R.M., et al., *The test of functional health literacy in adults: a new instrument for measuring patients' literacy skills.* 1995. **10**(10): p. 537-541.
19. Weiss, B.D., et al., *Quick assessment of literacy in primary care: the newest vital sign.* 2005. **3**(6): p. 514-522.
20. Schillinger, D., et al., *Association of health literacy with diabetes outcomes.* 2002. **288**(4): p. 475-482.
21. Wolf, M.S., J.A. Gazmararian, and D.W.J.A.o.i.m. Baker, *Health literacy and functional health status among older adults.* 2005. **165**(17): p. 1946-1952.
22. Berkman, N.D., et al., *Low health literacy and health outcomes: an updated systematic review.* 2011. **155**(2): p. 97-107.
23. Mazzuca, S.A., et al., *Effects of self-care education on the health status of inner-city patients with osteoarthritis of the knee.* 1997. **40**(8): p. 1466-1474.
24. Clouet, J., et al., *From osteoarthritis treatments to future regenerative therapies for cartilage.* 2009. **14**(19-20): p. 913-925.
25. Hill, S., et al., *The illness perceptions associated with health and behavioural outcomes in people with musculoskeletal hand problems: findings from the North Staffordshire Osteoarthritis Project (NorStOP).* 2007.
26. Hill, J. and H.J.R. Bird, *Patient knowledge and misconceptions of osteoarthritis assessed by a validated self-completed knowledge questionnaire (PKQ-OA).* 2007. **46**(5): p. 796-800.
27. Nelligan, R.K., et al., *Effects of a self-directed web-based strengthening exercise and physical activity program supported by automated text messages for people with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized clinical trial.* 2021. **181**(6): p. 776-785.
28. Atukorala, I., et al., *Is there a dose-response relationship between weight loss and symptom improvement in persons with knee osteoarthritis?* 2016. **68**(8): p. 1106-1114.
29. Gay, C., et al., *Educating patients about the benefits of physical activity and exercise for their hip and knee osteoarthritis. Systematic literature review.* 2016. **59**(3): p. 174-183.
30. Roos, E.M., C.B.J.O. Juhl, and cartilage, *Osteoarthritis 2012 year in review: rehabilitation and outcomes.* 2012. **20**(12): p. 1477-1483.
31. Jordan, J.L., et al., *Interventions to improve adherence to exercise for chronic musculoskeletal pain in adults.* 2010(1).

32. Marks, R., J.P.J.J.o.a. Allegrante, and p. activity, *Chronic osteoarthritis and adherence to exercise: a review of the literature.* 2005. **13**(4): p. 434-460.
33. Pisters, M.F., et al., *Long-term effectiveness of exercise therapy in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee: a systematic review.* 2007. **57**(7): p. 1245-1253.
34. Hughes, S.L., et al., *Impact of the fit and strong intervention on older adults with osteoarthritis.* 2004. **44**(2): p. 217-228.
35. Barlow, J., et al., *Self-management approaches for people with chronic conditions: a review.* 2002. **48**(2): p. 177-187.
36. Newman, S., L. Steed, and K.J.T.L. Mulligan, *Self-management interventions for chronic illness.* 2004. **364**(9444): p. 1523-1537.
37. Brady, T.J., et al., *A meta-analysis of health status, health behaviors, and health care utilization outcomes of the chronic disease self-management program.* 2013. **10**: p. E07.
38. Lorig, K.R. and H.R.J.A.o.b.m. Holman, *Self-management education: history, definition, outcomes, and mechanisms.* 2003. **26**(1): p. 1-7.
39. Baron, R.M., D.A.J.J.o.p. Kenny, and s. psychology, *The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations.* 1986. **51**(6): p. 1173.
40. Unevik, E., et al., *Sociodemographic inequalities in outcomes of a Swedish nationwide self-management program for osteoarthritis: results from 22,741 patients between years 2008–2017.* 2020. **9**(7): p. 2294.
41. Buchbinder, R., et al., *Self-management education programmes for osteoarthritis.* 2014(1).
42. Du, S., et al., *Self-management programs for chronic musculoskeletal pain conditions: a systematic review and meta-analysis.* 2011. **85**(3): p. e299-e310.
43. Wu, Y., et al., *Associations between e-health literacy and chronic disease self-management in older Chinese patients with chronic non-communicable diseases: a mediation analysis.* 2022. **22**(1): p. 2226.
44. Duca, L.M.J.M.M. and M.W. Report, *Self-management education class attendance and health care provider counseling for physical activity among adults with arthritis—United States, 2019.* 2021. **70**.